Memorandum

Date:

March 9, 2010

To:

Golden Gate Division

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Santa Rosa Area

File No.:

360.9763.13920

Subject:

CHAPTER 7 INSPECTION

On February 12, 2010, Sergeant R.D. Mota #13920 conducted a Command Level Chapter 7
Inspection of the Santa Rosa Area. All inspected items were noted on the CHP 680T, Checklist for Command Illness and Injury Case Management. The CHP 680A, Exceptions Document was utilized to document five separate findings needing Corrective Action. Additionally, the Santa Rosa Area utilized the 680A to document its Corrective Action Plan and timeframes. Please contact Sergeant Robert Mota or Lt. Eric Rozenoff if you have any further questions.

K. R. YOUNG, Captain

Commander

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM FXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

	-			 _	_	_	_	 -
100	1	of	1					

	age	1	of	4
•	~,~,		•	

Command: Santa Rosa	Division: Golden Gate	Chapter:	
Inspected by: Sergeant R.D.	Mota #13920	Date 02/17/2010	

	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forw ent shall be utilized to do	ard to:" enter the nex cument innovative pra	
TYPE OF INSPECTION		Total hours expende	d on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included
☐ Division Level ☐ Command L	.evel	inspection:		
☐ Executive Office Level		6.0		Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to: GGD 03/31/10		
Corrective Action ☑ Yes ☐ No	Due D	ate: 04/01/2010		
Chapter Inspection:				
Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Ir	nnovative Practices	3:	
N/A				
Command Suggestions for S	tatewic	de Improvement:		
IN/A				
Inspector's Findings:				
Finding # 1: Assignment of Canumber not being assigned.	al-OSF	IA number to every	y injury and illne	ess: Four instances of Cal-OSHA
Finding #2: Signature on 121/ employee within 24 hours.	A: The	re was one instanc	e of a CHP 121	A not being signed by the
Finding #3: Five year record o	f CHP	121s: The file doe	es not appear to	contain all CHP 121s.
Finding #4: Supervisors provide	ling 44	3s: Very few CHP	443s were loca	ated within the CHP 121 file.
Finding #5: Comm-Net to ORN illnesses.	<i>I</i> I and ∖	DRU: Comm-Nets	were not sent o	on all occupational injury or
Commander's Response: ⊠	Concu	ır or 🗌 Do Not Cor	ncur (Do Not Cond	cur shall document basis for response)
oncur with all Findings by th	e Insp	ection Team		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

FXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

. age 2 of 4

Command: Santa Rosa	Division: Golden Gate	Chapter:	
Inspected by: Sergeant R.D.	Mota #13920	Date 02/17/2010	

Inspector's Comments:	Shall address non concurrence by commander (e	e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged,
etc.)		

N/A

Required Action

Corrective Action Plan/Timeline

ALL CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN CONTROL MEASURES WILL BE IMPLEMENTED IMMEDIATELY

Finding # 1: Assignment of Cal-OSHA number to every injury and illness: 4 instances of Cal-OSHA number not being assigned.

The Santa Rosa Area concurs with this finding and will correct this issue by implementing several control measures:

- π appears that "Record Only" and "First Aid" CHP 121s, where the employee later obtained medical treatment, were not subsequently issued a Cal-OSHA number.
 - 1. Increased Managerial/Supervisory oversight of CHP 121s that are converted from Record Only or First Aid to ensure a Cal-OSHA number is assigned.
 - 2. Managerial/Supervisory Verification and training to ensure that the Santa Rosa Area CHP 121 Clerk is assigning a Cal-OSHA number when CHP 121s are converted from Record Only or First Aid.

Finding #2: Signature on 121A: There was one instance of a CHP 121A not being signed by the employee within 24 hours.

The Santa Rosa Area concurs with this finding and will correct this issue by implementing the following control measure:

- 1. Increased Managerial/Supervisory oversight of CHP 121s to ensure CHP 121s are signed in the appropriate timeframes.
- 2. Managers will provide additional training to Supervisors to ensure that CHP 121s are signed in the appropriate timeframes.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

FXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

. age 3 of 4

Command: Santa Rosa	Division: Golden Gate	Chapter:
Inspected by: Sergeant R.D.	Mota #13920	Date 02/17/2010

Finding #3: Five year record of CHP 121s: The file does not appear to contain all CHP 121s.

The Santa Rosa Area concurs with this finding and will correct this issue by implementing several control measures:

- 1. Increased Managerial/Supervisory oversight of CHP 121s to ensure copies of all CHP 121s are maintained for five years.
- 2. Managerial/Supervisory Verification and training to ensure that the Santa Rosa Area CHP 121 Clerk is maintaining all CHP 121s for five years.

Finding #4: Supervisors providing 443s: Very few CHP 443s were located within the CHP 121 file.

It appears that sergeants are either not providing CHP 443s or medical staff are unwilling to complete the form, preferring to use their own Limited Duty forms.

The Santa Rosa Area concurs with this finding and will correct this issue by implementing several control measures:

- Increased Managerial/Supervisory oversight of CHP 443s to ensure sergeants are providing them
 to medical staff. If not provided, managers or supervisors will follow up with medical staff to have
 the CHP 443 completed.
- 2. Managers will provide additional training to Supervisors to ensure that CHP 443s are provided and signed by medical staff.

Finding #5: Comm-Net to ORM and DRU: Comm-Nets were not sent on all occupational injury or illnesses.

It appears that "Record Only" and "First Aid" CHP 121s, where the employee later obtained medical treatment, were not subsequently issued a Cal-OSHA number.

- 1. Increased Managerial/Supervisory oversight of Comm-Nets to ensure they are sent to ORM and DRU when required.
- 2. Managerial/Supervisory Verification and training to ensure that the Santa Rosa Area CHP 121 Clerk sends out Comm-Nets to ORM and DRU when required.

Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	muy yo	3-9-10

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM (CEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Pag	е	4	of	4
. ~~	$\overline{}$		\sim .	

Command: Santa Rosa	Division: Golden Gate	Chapter:	
Inspected by: Sergeant R.D.		Date 02/17/2010	

		=======================================
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE 02/17/2010
Reviewer discussed this report with employee	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur		4/13/2010

Page

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

MMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 7

Command Illness and Injury Case Management

Division: Golden Gate	Number:
Evaluated by: R. Mota, Sergeant	
Assisted by:	
	Golden Gate

INSTRU	CTIONS: Answer individual	items with "Ves" or "No" answers	or fill in the	hlanke ee ir	ndicated ^		or any other
INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. A "No," "N/A," or any other discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.							
TYPE OF INSPECTION		Lead Inspector's Signature:					
☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level			land Mil				
☐ Executive Office Level ☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection							
Fo	llow-up Required:	☐ Follow-up Inspection	Commander's Signature: Date:			4	
] Yes 🛛 No						3-9-10
For applicable policy, refer to: HPM 10.7							
ote: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" section shall be utilized for explanation.							
1.		d the required STD e13708,					
2.		es, in a prominent place?		□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
2.	Has the command poste Protection on the job not	ice in a prominent place?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
3.		d a Cal-OSHA S-11 notice in				TOMANO	
	a conspicuous place?			☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
4.	Memorandum for distribu	ition to injured employees	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
	expressing their desire to	o assist the employee lining departmental policy,					
	and employee rights and						
5.		ntain a current CHP 121D,	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
6.	Has the command provide Comm-Net to the appropregarding employees who have the command provided the command provid	ded required notification via briate next level of command o are off duty as a result of ess for 30 calendar days or	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
7.		ntain a current OSHA 300?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
8.	Is the OSHA 300 log sec confidentiality and has it based on employee's he	been regularly updated	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
PARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

JMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 7

Command Illness and Injury Case Management

9.	Have injury and illnesses been recorded in the OSHA 300 log within six workdays of the specific occurrence?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
10.	Has a Cal-OSHA number been assigned to every injury and illness which is recorded in the OSHA 300 log?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Some Record Only cases later followed up with medical care, but OSHA number not assigned
11.	Has the command forwarded the previous year's OSHA 300 log to their respective Division (January 15 th for Areas, communication centers, inspection facilities, and Academy or February 15 th for Divisions and Headquarters commands)?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
12.	Was/or is the OSHA 300A (Summary of Work Related Injury and Illnesses) posted in a prominent place from February 1 st of the following year until April 30 th ?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
13.	Does the command maintain a current five year record of the OSHA 300 log which is current?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: File does not appear to contain all recorded injuries
14. 	Does the command maintain a current five year record of CHP 121s which is current?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: File does not appear to contain all CHP 121s
15.	Have CHP 121s been completed by a supervisor within 24 hours of the notification of the alleged injury or illness?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
16.	Has the commander or his/her designee signed all CHP 121s within three days of notification of the injury or illness?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
17.	Have all CHP 121s been filed with the State Compensation Insurance Fund (SCIF) within five days of the notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
18.	Have all CHP 121As been completed within 24 hours of the notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
19.	Have all CHP 121As been signed by the affected employee (if possible) within 24 hours of the notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Sgt. Lewis not completed within 24 hours.
20.	Have all CHP 121Bs been completed and signed by the affected employee (if possible) within 24 hours of notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
21.	Have all CHP 121Cs been completed and signed by the affected employee (if possible) within 24 hours of notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

JMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 7

Command Illness and Injury Case Management

22.	Have all CHP 442s been updated accordingly within three days of notification of an employee injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
23.	Have supervisors (if applicable) provided CHP 443s to the employees' medical care providers?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Unable to locate some 443s in 121 file.
	Have supervisors provided CHP 600s to employees within 24 hours of the notification of an injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Have supervisors provided CHP 601s to employees within 24 hours of the notification of an injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Have supervisors provided SCIF 3301s to employees within 24 hours of the notification of an injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Has the command prepared and posted/distributed the memorandum advising employees of the command's authorized medical providers and/or facilities	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
28.	Has the command sent Comm-Net messages to the Office of Risk Management (ORM) Disability and Retirement Unit (DRU) within 24 hours of the notification of a nondisabling or disabling occupational injury or illness?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Not completed on all injuries.
29.	Does the command have copies of approved medical care providers posted for employees?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
30.	Have all employees who voluntarily participated in the Annual Fitness Challenge completed a medical prescreening questionnaire?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
31.	Has the command provided an Options Letter (if applicable) to an employee who has been medically determined to be permanently precluded from returning to their regular job duties?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	In the event of an employee's serious injury or death, was the appropriate assistant commissioner or designee contacted immediately?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
33.	In the event of an employee's serious injury or death, were the Division of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH) and the Office of Risk Management contacted by telephone within eight hours?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: